IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 9798 of 2005

Between:

M/s.Mega Constructions Company, Engineers & Contractors

rep., by its Managing Partner, T.Vijender Reddy,
s/o Madhav Reddy, 2-2-20/B/12, Plot No.203, Sri Anitha Apartments,
D.D.Colony, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Government of Andhra Pradesh rep., by Secretary,

Irrigation Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 Commissionerate of Tenders, rep., by its Commissioner,

BRKR Buildings, Hyderabad.
3 Chief Engineer, Alimineti Madhava Reddy Project, Gagan Vihar,

VI Floor, Hyderabad.
4 Superintending Engineer, NSLC (O & M Circle), Miryalaguda,

Nalgonda District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring that the action of respondents 3 and 4 in not qualifying the technical bid of the petitioner for the work notified in Tender Notice No.15/SE/2004-05 dated 08-02-2005 (earth work excavation and formation of embankment from KM 13/00 to Km 14/00 including construction of Aqueduct at KM 13.303) is arbitrary and illegal and direct the respondents to open the price bid of the petitioner and consider the case of the

petitioner along with other tenderers for award of the contract notified by Tender Notice No.15/SE/2004-05 dated 08-02-2005 relating to the work of excavation and formation of embankment from KM 13/00 to Km 14/00 including construction of Aqueduct at KM 13.303.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.V.VENKATARAMANA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: GP FOR IRRIGATION & COMM AREA DEV.

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.9798 OF 2005

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a letter dt.15.7.2005 seeking permission of the Court to withdraw the Writ Petition. Permission accorded. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

19.7.2005

bnr

To

1 The Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Irrigation Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner, Commissionerate of Tenders,

BRKR Buildings, Hyderabad.
3 The Chief Engineer, Alimineti Madhava Reddy Project,

Gagan Vihar, VI Floor, Hyderabad.
4 The Superintending Engineer, NSLC (O & M Circle), Miryalaguda,

Nalgonda District.

5 2 CCs to G.P. for Irrigation and Command Area Development

High Court Bldgs., Hyderabad (OUT)

6 2 CD copies